Packaged entanglement states and its applications

Rongchao Ma
Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

[1]

arXiv:1511.02198v5 [physics.gen-ph] 22 Jan 2016

Abstract

Entanglement states are important for both basic research and applied research. However, these entanglement states usually relate to one or several of the particles' physical quantities. Here we theoretically show that a particle-antiparticle pair can form packaged entanglement states which encapsulate all the necessary physical quantities for completely identifying the particles. There are two types of packaged entanglement states, i.e., C-symmetrical and C-asymmetrical. The particles in the packaged entanglement states are hermaphroditic and indeterminate. Thereafter, we gave a possible experimental scheme for testing the packaged entanglement states. We also proposed a protocol for teleporting a particle to an arbitrarily large distance using the packaged entanglement states and discussed the transfer of a packaged entanglement state from two particles to another two particles. Finally, we present a new interpretation to the baryogenesis based on the theory of packaged entanglement states in which the particles are indeterminate and hermaphroditic. Baryogenesis, or the origin of matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe, is one of the major unsolved problems in physical cosmology. We have shown that the collapse of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking satisfies the Sakharov conditions. By further proposing an entanglement selection principle, we can give a self-consistent interpretation to the origin of matter-antimatter asymmetry produced in early universe. Thus, the collapse of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking could be or at lease contribute to the origin of matter-antimatter asymmetry. These packaged entanglement states could be important for particle physics and useful in matter teleportation, medicine, remote control, and energy transfer.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Ac, 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ud, 11.30.Er, 11.30.Fs, 98.80.Cq

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Packaged entanglement states **2**
2.1 Packaged entanglement states of two particles with C-symmetry 2
2.2 Packaged entanglement states of two particles with C-symmetry breaking 4
2.3 Packaged entanglement states of more than two particles 5

3 Possible Verification Scheme **6**

4 Particle Teleportation Protocol **7**
4.1 Particle teleportation to a single receiver with C-symmetry packaged entanglement states 7
4.2 Particle teleportation to a single receiver with C-symmetry breaking packaged entanglement states 8
4.3 Particle teleportation to multiple receivers 9

5 Transfer of packaged entanglement states **10**
5.1 Transfer of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry 10
5.2 Transfer of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking 11

[1]Email: marongchao@yahoo.com

6 Baryogenesis via the packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking 13
6.1 Packaged entanglement states and Sakharov conditions 13
6.1.1 Violation of baryon number B 13
6.1.2 Violation of C-symmetry and CP-symmetry 14
6.1.3 Thermal nonequilibrium 14
6.2 Entanglement selection principle 14
6.3 Comparison with other mechanisms of baryogenesis 15

7 Discussion 15

8 Conclusion 16

1 Introduction

An entanglement state usually refers to the quantum state of a composite system which cannot be expressed as the direct product of the quantum states of its subsystems.[1, 2, 3] In the entanglement state, a measurement on one of the particles will immediately change the state of other particles [4] via the "spooky action at a distance" [5] no matter how far these particles are spatially separated. The entanglement state is important for both fundamental research [4, 6, 7, 8] and applications in quantum information [9]. A considerable amount of theoretical and technical works have been devoted to the entanglement of one degree of freedom [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20] and several degrees of freedom, i.e., hyperentanglement [21, 22, 23, 24, 25] or multimode entanglement [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31].

However, one may ask whether the particles can form a special entanglement state that can package in all their physical properties capable of completely identifying the particles? From particle physics, we know that a particle possesses a number of independent physical quantities (or freedoms), such as charge, baryon number, lepton number etc.[32, 33] The question is whether all these physical quantities could be packaged as an entirety in the new entanglement state. We shall call such an entanglement state as "packaged entanglement state", which is different to the hyperentanglement [21, 22, 23, 24, 25] or multimode entanglement [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. On the other hand, the packaged entanglement states could be used to teleport the entire particles and systems instead of just some of their physical properties as described before. This feature may enable matter teleportation in which every intrinsic property of the particles needs to be transmitted for the purpose of rematerialization.[34]

In this work we studied the properties of the packaged entanglement states and their important applications. We first constructed the mathematical expression for the packaged entanglement states using the charge conjugation operator and discussed their physical properties in detail. Next, we proposed a possible experimental method for testing whether the particle-antiparticle pairs are in the packaged entanglement states. Thirdly, we discussed how to teleport a particle to a place at a distance using the packaged entanglement states and how to transfer a packaged entanglement state from two particles to another two particles. We also present a new interpretation to the baryogenesis based on the theory of packaged entanglement states in which the particles are indeterminate and hermaphroditic. Finally, we discussed the possible applications of the particle teleportation process in medicine, remote control, and targeted energy transfer.

2 Packaged entanglement states

2.1 Packaged entanglement states of two particles with C-symmetry

For simplicity, let us first choose a particle-antiparticle pair (with a zero total charge) to carry out the study. We need to proof the existence of the packaged entanglement states through logical reasoning. We also need to construct the mathematical expression of the packaged entanglement states and therefore study their properties. This can be achieved by referring to charge conjugation.

Let $|P\rangle$ denote the particle's quantum state and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle$ denote the antiparticle's quantum state. It is known that $|P\rangle$ and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle$ are symmetrical in the sense of charge, i.e., the charge of $|P\rangle$ and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle$ are equal in quantity but with opposite signs. For this reason, we shall call the charge as the particle's gender. From

particle physics [32, 33, 35] we know that $|P\rangle$ and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle$ can be interchanged by the charge conjugation operator C, i.e., $C\left|P\right\rangle = \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle$ and $C\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle = \left|P\right\rangle$. This mutual transformation indicates that $|P\rangle$ and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle$ could be mixed together in the sense of wave function under certain conditions.

Although the name "charge conjugation" is used, it not only reverses the sign of the particle's electric charge (Q), but also reverses the sign of all other internal quantum numbers [32], i.e., baryon number (B), lepton number (L), isospin (I_3), charm (C), strangeness (S), topness (T), and bottomness (B'). All these internal quantum numbers are packaged together under the charge conjugation. It should be also mentioned that [32] the charge conjugation does not change the sign of mass, energy, momentum, and spin.

It is known that not all particle (antiparticle) states, but only those neutral systems (with zero total charge) are the eigenstates of the charge conjugation operator C, such as γ, π^0, and a "particle-antiparticle" pair etc. It can be shown that [32], applying C to the bound state of a "particle-antiparticle" pair $|P\rangle\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle$, one has

$$C(|P\rangle\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle) = (-1)^J\left|P\right\rangle\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

where $J = L + S$ is the total angular momentum quantum number, L is the orbital angular momentum quantum number, and S is the total spin quantum number. For bosons $|b\rangle$, S is an even number and Eq.(2.1) reduces to $C(|b\rangle\left|\bar{b}\right\rangle) = (-1)^L\left|b\right\rangle\left|\bar{b}\right\rangle$.

Similar to Eq.(2.1), one can also show that the reversed configuration $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle|P\rangle$ is an eigenstate of C. Mathematically, the linear combination (superposition) of $|P\rangle\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle$ and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle|P\rangle$ are also the eigenstates of C. For a "particle-antiparticle" pair A and B, therefore, one can construct the following four eigenstates of C, i.e.,

$$\left|\Theta^+\right\rangle_{AB} = \left|P\right\rangle_A\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$\left|\Theta^-\right\rangle_{AB} = \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A\left|P\right\rangle_B, \tag{2.2b}$$

$$\left|\Psi^+\right\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|P\right\rangle_A\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A\left|P\right\rangle_B\right), \tag{2.2c}$$

$$\left|\Psi^-\right\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|P\right\rangle_A\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B - \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A\left|P\right\rangle_B\right). \tag{2.2d}$$

On the other hand, one can easily show that [36] $C = C^\dagger$. This means that C is a Hermitian operator and is therefore an observable physical quantity. As the eigenstates of C, therefore, $|\Theta^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ and $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ must exist.

The eigenstates $|\Theta^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ are separable states. A fundamental character of these states is that each of their particles is determinate, i.e., it is either a particle or an antiparticle. In other words, the gender of particles in $|\Theta^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ are fixed.

But the eigenstates $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ are entanglement states because they cannot be expressed as the direct product of the particle state and antiparticle state.[1, 2] A fundamental character of these states is that each of their particles is indeterminate, i.e., it is partially a particle and partially an antiparticle. In other words, the particles in $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ are hermaphrodites. Therefore, one cannot tell which one is a particle and which one is an antiparticle. When performing a measurement on A, it will collapse into either a particle, or an antiparticle. If A collapse into a particle, then B will collapse into an antiparticle. If A collapse into an antiparticle, then B will collapse into a particle.

As mentioned before, the charge conjugation operator C packages in a number of quantum numbers $(Q, B, L, I_3, C, S, T, B')$. All these quantum numbers should entangle together in the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$. In other words, $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ cannot be written as the product of the sub quantum states related to the individual quantum numbers (freedoms). This feature can be embodied by rewriting Eq.(2.2c) and Eq.(2.2d), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Psi^\pm\right\rangle_{AB} =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|Q, B, L, I_3, C, S, T, B'\rangle_A\,|-Q, -B, -L, -I_3, -C, -S, -T, -B'\rangle_B\right.\\ &\left.\pm\,|-Q, -B, -L, -I_3, -C, -S, -T, -B'\rangle_A\,|Q, B, L, I_3, C, S, T, B'\rangle_B\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In fact, as shown in Eq.(2.2c) and Eq.(2.2d), $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ only include abstract particle symbols, but not some particular physical quantity symbols. This means that the quantum numbers $(Q, B, L, I_3, C, S, T, B')$

is packaged as an entirety in $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AB}$ (or $|\Psi^-\rangle_{AB}$) by the charge conjugation operator C. The quantum numbers cannot be added in or taken out separately. Furthermore, a particle and an antiparticle are different types of particles. It means that $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AB}$ (or $|\Psi^-\rangle_{AB}$) packages different particles as an entirety. Due to these reasons, we call the quantum states $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ as packaged entanglement states. This is different to the hyperentanglement or multimode entanglement. It should be also pointed out that the other physical quantities, i.e., mass, energy, momentum, and spin, are untouched by the charge conjugation. Thus, the sub quantum states related to these physical quantities should be factored out.

Finally, we would like to mention that the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ are valid for both elementary particles and composite particles by referring to the charge conjugation. For composite particles, $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ can be further expressed by their component particles. For example, a proton $|p\rangle$ is a composite particle made of three quarks [32, 33], i.e., $|p\rangle = |uud\rangle$, where u denotes an up quark and d denotes a down quark. Thus, the packaged entanglement states of a $p-\bar{p}$ pair can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Psi^\pm\right\rangle_{AB} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|p\rangle_A\,|\bar{p}\rangle_B \pm |\bar{p}\rangle_A\,|p\rangle_B\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|uud\rangle_A\left|\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d}\right\rangle_B \pm \left|\bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d}\right\rangle_A|uud\rangle_B\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

2.2 Packaged entanglement states of two particles with C-symmetry breaking

The packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ in Eq.(2.2c) and Eq.(2.2d) are construct on basis of a particle-antiparticle pair in which the total charge is conserved (zero) in the wave function collapse. From a mathematical point of view, this is not the only possibility. There must exist other forms of packaged entanglement states in which the total charge are not conserved in the wave function collapse, i.e., the total charge are not equal before and after the wave function collapse. The physical properties and possible applications of these new packaged entanglement states are unknown yet.

In this sub-section we will construct the mathematical expressions for the new packaged entanglement states and show that the wave function collapse does not result in a particle-antiparticle pair, but two identical particles (either two similar particles or two similar antiparticles). In other words, the total charge is not conserved in the wave function collapse and the C-symmetry is broken. The new packaged entanglement states can also be used as the quantum channels for particle teleportation. But the receiver's particle always conjugates to the sender's particle. As mentioned before, the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ are constructed under the constraint condition of zero total charge. Thus, they strictly obey the law of charge conservation. We shall now remove this constraint condition and construct the new packaged entanglement states which do not obey the law of charge conservation. Let us first study the packaged entanglement states of two particles and then generalize it to $M > 2$ particles later.

Consider the following two quantum states of a particle pair,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Phi^+\right\rangle_{AB} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A\,|P\rangle_B + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B\right),\\ \left|\Phi^-\right\rangle_{AB} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A\,|P\rangle_B - \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Applying the charge conjugation operator C to $|\Phi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}C\left|\Phi^\pm\right\rangle_{AB} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1)^J\left(\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B \pm |P\rangle_A\,|P\rangle_B\right)\\ &= \pm(-1)^J\left|\Phi^\pm\right\rangle_{AB}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $J = L + S$ is the total angular momentum quantum number, L is the orbital angular momentum quantum number, and S is the total spin quantum number.

Eq.(2.6) shows that $|\Phi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ are also the eigenstates of the charge conjugation operator C. The C-parity (or charge parity) [37] depends on the total angular momentum quantum number J. As the eigenstates of C, therefore, $|\Phi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ must exist.

Similar to $|\Psi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$, the states $|\Phi^\pm\rangle_{AB}$ are also entanglement states because they cannot be expressed as the direct product of the particle state and antiparticle state.[1, 2] Furthermore, as the eigenstates

of the charge conjugation operator C, the entanglement states $|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB}$ also package in all the physical properties capable of completely identifying the particles, i.e., the particle's electric charge (Q), baryon number (B), lepton number (L), isospin (I_3), charm (C), strangeness (S), topness (T), and bottomness (B').

The packaged entanglement states $|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB}$ have an interesting property. If a measurement is performed on the particle pair, $|\Phi^{+}\rangle_{AB}$ (or $|\Phi^{-}\rangle_{AB}$) will collapse and break the C-symmetry (the symmetry of physical laws under the charge conjugation operator C) [32, 33, 35]. More specifically, if a measurement is performed on A, it will collapse into either a particle, or an antiparticle. If A collapse into a particle, then B will also collapse into a particle, i.e., the wave function $|\Phi^{+}\rangle_{AB}$ (or $|\Phi^{-}\rangle_{AB}$) will collapse into $|P\rangle_A |P\rangle_B$. If A collapse into an antiparticle, then B will also collapse into an antiparticle, i.e., the wave function $|\Phi^{+}\rangle_{AB}$ (or $|\Phi^{-}\rangle_{AB}$) will collapse into $|\bar{P}\rangle_A |\bar{P}\rangle_B$. This process break the C-symmetry of the particle-antiparticle pair. Therefore, the law of charge conservation does not hold in this process.

2.3 Packaged entanglement states of more than two particles

The above stated packaged entanglement state can be generalized to a system with $M > 2$ particles. Because each particle has two states P and $\bar{P}$, there are totally 2^M packaged entanglement states, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_{M-1} |P\rangle_M \pm |\bar{P}\rangle_1 |\bar{P}\rangle_2 |\bar{P}\rangle_3 \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_{M-1} |\bar{P}\rangle_M\right), \\
|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|\bar{P}\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_{M-1} |P\rangle_M \pm |P\rangle_1 |\bar{P}\rangle_2 |\bar{P}\rangle_3 \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_{M-1} |\bar{P}\rangle_M\right), \\
|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_1 |\bar{P}\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_{M-1} |P\rangle_M \pm |\bar{P}\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |\bar{P}\rangle_3 \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_{M-1} |\bar{P}\rangle_M\right), \\
|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |\bar{P}\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_{M-1} |P\rangle_M \pm |\bar{P}\rangle_1 |\bar{P}\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_{M-1} |\bar{P}\rangle_M\right), \\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots, \\
|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_{M+1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_{M-1} |\bar{P}\rangle_M \pm |\bar{P}\rangle_1 |\bar{P}\rangle_2 |\bar{P}\rangle_3 \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_{M-1} |P\rangle_M\right), \\
|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_{M+2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|\bar{P}\rangle_1 |\bar{P}\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_{M-1} |P\rangle_M \pm |P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |\bar{P}\rangle_3 \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_{M-1} |\bar{P}\rangle_M\right), \\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots, \\
|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_{2^{M-1}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|\bar{P}\rangle_1 |\bar{P}\rangle_2 \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_{[\frac{M}{2}]} |P\rangle_{[\frac{M}{2}]+1} \cdots |P\rangle_M \pm |P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 \cdots |P\rangle_{[\frac{M}{2}]} |\bar{P}\rangle_{[\frac{M}{2}]+1} \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_M\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.7}
$$

where $[\frac{M}{2}]$ denotes the integral part of $\frac{M}{2}$.

On the right sides of each equation in Eq.(2.7), the second half conjugates to the first half. Thus, if one apply the charge conjugation operator C to one of these equations, then he/she can obtain the same equation with a coefficient. This means that all the packaged entanglement states in Eq.(2.7) are the eigenstates of C.

Let N_P be the number of Ps and $N_{\bar{P}}$ be the number of $\bar{P}$s, we have the following conclusions:

If $N_P = N_{\bar{P}}$ in the first half (or the second half) combinations on the right side of a state in Eq.(2.7) (M must be an even number), then the C-symmetry holds in the collapse of this wave function. There are totally $C_M^{M/2} = M!/[(M/2)!]^2$ such states. If $M = 2$, then $|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_3$ (see Eq.(2.7)) reduces to Eq.(2.2c) and Eq.(2.2d).

On the other hand, if $N_P \neq N_{\bar{P}}$ in the first half (or the second half) combinations on the right side of a state in Eq.(2.7), then the C-symmetry does not hold in the collapse of this wave function. For example, if a measurement is performed on $|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_1$, then they will either collapse into the separable states $|P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_{M-1} |P\rangle_M$ or $\pm |\bar{P}\rangle_1 |\bar{P}\rangle_2 |\bar{P}\rangle_3 \cdots |\bar{P}\rangle_{M-1} |\bar{P}\rangle_M$. These processes break the C-symmetry. Therefore, the law of charge conservation does not hold in the collapse of these wave functions. If $M = 2$, then $|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_1$ reduces to Eq.(2.5).

From above discussion, we see that if a system includes an odd number of particles, then $N_P \neq N_{\bar{P}}$. All the packaged entanglement states have C-symmetry breaking. If a system includes an even number

of particles, then there are totally $C_M^{M/2} = M!/[(M/2)!]^2$ states have C-symmetry ($N_P = N_{\bar{P}}$), and the remained $2^M - M!/[(M/2)!]^2$ states have C-symmetry breaking.

3 Possible Verification Scheme

Although the experimental method for creating particle-antiparticle pairs in the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB}$ are unavailable at present, we can still use the following experimental scheme to test whether a particle-antiparticle pair is in one of the packaged entanglement states.

Eq.(2.2c) and Eq.(2.2d) show that each particle (A or B) in the packaged entanglement states is a mixture of a particle and an antiparticle, or a hermaphroditic particle. When A (or B) encounters an external particle X (from a particle source), the particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon [38, 39] will force A (or B) to collapse into a particle conjugating to particle X (with every internal quantum number of A opposite to that of X) and then annihilate each other. More specifically, if X is a particle, then A will collapse into an antiparticle; if X is an antiparticle, then A will collapse into a particle. This indicates that each particle in a packaged entanglement state can annihilate with both a particle and an antiparticle. This property could be used to test the existence of the packaged entanglement states.[40]

Suppose that Alice has invented an experimental method [1] for creating particle-antiparticle pairs in the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB}$. Now Alice use this method to create a particle-antiparticle pair and then send one of the particles (particle A) to annihilate with an external similar particle X (see Fig. 1). Repeating this procedure for a number of times, Alice then starts to calculate the particle annihilating rate.



Figure 1: (Color online) Schematic diagram for testing the existence of packaged entanglement states of particle-antiparticle pairs. If the annihilation rate is 1, then the pairs are in packaged entanglement states. If the annihilation rate is 0.5, then the pairs are in separable states.

(a) If the particle-antiparticle pairs are in one of the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB}$, then the annihilation rate would be 1. This is because each particle in the pairs are indeterminate and they can certainly annihilate with the external particles X (it does not matter whether X are particles or antiparticles).

(b) If the particle-antiparticle pairs are in one of the separable states $|\Theta^{\pm}\rangle_{AB}$, then the annihilation rate would be 0.5. This is because each particle in the pairs are determinate and the probability for A to be particles or antiparticles is 0.5, respectively. Thus, only half of the particles A can annihilate with the external particles X.

Finally, Alice can tell whether the particle-antiparticle pairs are in the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB}$ by checking the annihilation rate.

4 Particle Teleportation Protocol

4.1 Particle teleportation to a single receiver with C-symmetry packaged entanglement states

We have constructed the mathematical expression for the packaged entanglement states. We shall now discuss the possible particle teleportation protocol using the packaged entanglement states and particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon (see Fig. 2). This is the foundation for matter teleportation. Here the particle teleportation does not mean to transmit a particle to the receiver (from Alice to Bob), but only transmit the packaged quantum information carried by the particle to the receiver.[34] For the convenience of discussion, the particle teleportation protocol is divided into 5 steps.



Figure 2: (Color online) Schematic diagram for particle teleportation using the packaged entanglement states of a particle-antiparticle pair, $|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B \pm |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_B\right)$, and particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon.

(1) Encoding. Consider that Alice has a particle X (or a sequence of particles) want to teleport to Bob. X can be either a particle or an antiparticle. Without losing generality, let us write out the quantum state of X as

$$|\phi\rangle_X = \alpha|P\rangle_X + \beta|\bar{P}\rangle_X . \tag{4.1}$$

If X is a particle, then we have $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. If X is an antiparticle, then we have $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$.

(2) Quantum channel creation. To send the information stored on particle X to Bob, Alice needs a quantum channel, i.e., a particle-antiparticle pair in the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB}$. Let us first choose $|\Psi^{-}\rangle_{AB}$ (see Eq.(2.2d)) to carry out the calculation.

After the particle-antiparticle pair is created in the packaged entanglement state $|\Psi^{-}\rangle_{AB}$, one of them (particle A) is sent to Alice and another (particle B) is sent to Bob. Before Alice carry out any further operation, the complete state of the three particles (X, A, B) is

$$|\phi\rangle_X|\Psi^{-}\rangle_{AB} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_X|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B - |P\rangle_X|\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_B\right) + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|\bar{P}\rangle_X|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B - |\bar{P}\rangle_X|\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_B\right). \tag{4.2}$$

(3) Sending. With the quantum channel, Alice can send out her information stored on particle X by letting particle X to annihilate with particle A (dematerialization in matter teleportation). Due to the particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon, A will collapse into a particle conjugating to X and then they will annihilate each other. Thus, the $|\phi\rangle_X |\Psi^-\rangle_{AB}$ in Eq.(4.2) will collapse into a state $|\Psi^-\rangle'_{XAB}$ which only has terms including $|P\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A$ and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X |P\rangle_A$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Psi^-\right\rangle'_{XAB} &= -\alpha \left(|P\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A\right) |P\rangle_B + \beta \left(\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X |P\rangle_A\right) \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B \\ &= -\alpha \left|P\bar{P}\right\rangle_{XA} |P\rangle_B + \beta \left|\bar{P}P\right\rangle_{XA} \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B .\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\left|P\bar{P}\right\rangle_{XA}$ and $\left|\bar{P}P\right\rangle_{XA}$ are the particles produced by the $|P\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A$ and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X |P\rangle_A$ annihilation [38], respectively.

(4) Receiving. Bob can receive the packaged information of particle X because Bob's particle B becomes related to X after Alice sent out her information by annihilating X with A. More specifically, if X is a particle, i.e., $|\phi\rangle_X = |P\rangle_X$ (see Eq.(4.1)), then Eq.(4.3) becomes

$$\left|\Psi^-\right\rangle'_{XAB} = -\left|P\bar{P}\right\rangle_{XA} |P\rangle_B , \tag{4.4}$$

and B becomes a particle identical to X (with a negative sign); if X is an antiparticle, i.e., $|\phi\rangle_X = \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X$, then Eq.(4.3) becomes

$$\left|\Psi^-\right\rangle'_{XAB} = \left|\bar{P}P\right\rangle_{XA} \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B , \tag{4.5}$$

and B becomes an antiparticle identical to X.

(5) Decoding. After received Alice's packaged information, Bob needs to decode it (rematerialization in matter teleportation). Eq.(4.4) and Eq.(4.5) show that Bob's particle B is identical to particle X. This means that Bob can successfully decode the packaged information sent to him by Alice (carried by X).

Similarly, one can repeat the above particle teleportation process using the packaged entanglement state $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AB}$ (see Eq.(2.2c)). Therefore, Eq.(4.3) becomes

$$\left|\Psi^+\right\rangle'_{XAB} = \alpha \left|P\bar{P}\right\rangle_{XA} |P\rangle_B + \beta \left|\bar{P}P\right\rangle_{XA} \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B . \tag{4.6}$$

If $|\phi\rangle_X = |P\rangle_X$, then $|\Psi^+\rangle'_{XAB} = \left|P\bar{P}\right\rangle_{XA} |P\rangle_B$. If $|\phi\rangle_X = \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X$, then $|\Psi^+\rangle'_{XAB} = \left|\bar{P}P\right\rangle_{XA} \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B$.

4.2 Particle teleportation to a single receiver with C-symmetry breaking packaged entanglement states

We shall now discuss the particle teleportation [34] using the packaged entanglement states in Eq.(2.5). Let us first choose the packaged entanglement states $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$ to carry out the calculation.

Consider that Alice want to teleport a particle X to Bob. The quantum state of X is denoted in Eq.(4.1).

Now create a quantum channel, i.e., a particle pair (A, B) in the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|P\rangle_A |P\rangle_B + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B\right)$ (see Eq.(2.5)). One of the particles (particle A) is sent to Alice and another (particle B) is sent to Bob. Before Alice carry out any further operation, the complete state of the three particles (X, A, B) is

$$|\phi\rangle_X \left|\Phi^+\right\rangle_{AB} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|P\rangle_X |P\rangle_A |P\rangle_B + |P\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B\right) + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X |P\rangle_A |P\rangle_B + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B\right) . \tag{4.7}$$

Thereafter, Alice sends out her information stored on particle X by annihilating particle X with particle A. Eq.(4.7) will collapse into a state $|\Phi^+\rangle'_{XAB}$ which only has terms including $|P\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A$ and $\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X |P\rangle_A$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Phi^+\right\rangle'_{XAB} &= \alpha \left(|P\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A\right) \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B + \beta \left(\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X |P\rangle_A\right) |P\rangle_B \\ &= \alpha \left|P\bar{P}\right\rangle_{XA} \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B + \beta \left|\bar{P}P\right\rangle_{XA} |P\rangle_B .\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$



Figure 3: (Color online) Schematic diagram for particle teleportation using the packaged entanglement states $|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|P\rangle_B \pm |\bar{P}\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B\right)$, and particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon.

where $|P\bar{P}\rangle_{XA}$ and $|\bar{P}P\rangle_{XA}$ are the particles produced by the $|P\rangle_X|\bar{P}\rangle_A$ and $|\bar{P}\rangle_X|P\rangle_A$ annihilation, respectively.

Eq.(4.8) shows that Bob's particle B becomes related to X after Alice annihilate X with A. If $|\phi\rangle_X = |P\rangle_X$ (i.e., X is a particle, see Eq.(4.1)), then Eq.(4.8) becomes

$$|\Phi^-\rangle'_{XAB} = |P\bar{P}\rangle_{XA}|\bar{P}\rangle_B, \tag{4.9}$$

and B becomes an antiparticle conjugating to X. If $|\phi\rangle_X = |\bar{P}\rangle_X$ (i.e., X is an antiparticle), then Eq.(4.8) becomes

$$|\Phi^-\rangle'_{XAB} = |\bar{P}P\rangle_{XA}|P\rangle_B, \tag{4.10}$$

and B becomes an particle conjugating to X.

Eq.(4.9) and Eq.(4.10) show that Bob's particle B always conjugates to particle X after Alice sent out her information. This means that Bob can receive the packaged information of particle X sent to him by Alice (carried by X) and therefore can successfully decode the packaged information by referring to Eq.(4.9) and Eq.(4.10)

Similarly, one can repeat the above particle teleportation process using the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^-\rangle_{AB}$ (see Eq.(2.5)). Now Eq.(4.8) becomes

$$|\Phi^-\rangle'_{XAB} = -\alpha|P\bar{P}\rangle_{XA}|\bar{P}\rangle_B + \beta|\bar{P}P\rangle_{XA}|P\rangle_B. \tag{4.11}$$

If $|\phi\rangle_X = |P\rangle_X$, then $|\Phi^-\rangle'_{XAB} = -|P\bar{P}\rangle_{XA}|\bar{P}\rangle_B$. If $|\phi\rangle_X = |\bar{P}\rangle_X$, then $|\Phi^-\rangle'_{XAB} = |\bar{P}P\rangle_{XA}|P\rangle_B$.

On can see that using $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$, Bob always obtain a particle (particle B) conjugating to that of Alice (particle X). However, as shown before, when using $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AB}$, Bob always obtain a particle identical to that of Alice.

4.3 Particle teleportation to multiple receivers

We shall now show that Alice can teleport particles to multiple receivers [41, 42] using an entanglement state in Eq.(2.7). For simplicity, let us choose the first one in Eq.(2.7) (similar to the so-called GHZ state [43]) to carry out the calculation, i.e.,

$$|\Phi^+\rangle_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle^{\otimes M} + |\bar{P}\rangle^{\otimes M}\right). \tag{4.12}$$

One of the particles (particle A) is sent to the sender Alice and other $M-1$ particles are sent to the multiple receivers: Bob, Carl, David, Edward, Frank, $\cdots$. Before Alice carry out any further operation, Eq.(4.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi\rangle_X \left|\Phi^+\right\rangle_1 = & \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\left[|P\rangle_X |P\rangle_A |P\rangle^{\otimes(M-1)} + |P\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle^{\otimes(M-1)}\right] \\ & + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X |P\rangle_A |P\rangle^{\otimes(M-1)} + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle^{\otimes(M-1)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Thereafter, Alice sends out her information stored on particle X by annihilating particle X with particle A. Eq.(4.13) becomes

$$\left|\Phi^+\right\rangle'_{XA(M-1)} = \alpha \left|P\bar{P}\right\rangle_{XA} \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle^{\otimes(M-1)} + \beta \left|\bar{P}P\right\rangle_{XA} |P\rangle^{\otimes(M-1)}. \tag{4.14}$$

If $|\phi\rangle_X = |P\rangle_X$, then $|\Phi^-\rangle'_{XA(M-1)} = \left|P\bar{P}\right\rangle_{XA} \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle^{\otimes(M-1)}$. If $|\phi\rangle_X = \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_X$, then $|\Phi^-\rangle'_{XA(M-1)} = \left|\bar{P}P\right\rangle_{XA} |P\rangle^{\otimes(M-1)}$.

On can see that using $\left|\Phi^+\right\rangle_1$, the multiple receivers always receive the particles conjugating to particle X. This confirms that Alice can teleport particles to multiple receivers.

5 Transfer of packaged entanglement states

As mentioned before, Alice needs a quantum channel (a particle pair in an entanglement state) to perform a quantum teleportation. However, if two particles are spatially separated by large distance, then it is difficult to build up an entanglement state between them. It is even harder to put a separable particle-antiparticle pair into a packaged entanglement state. In this case, one should consider the possibility of transferring the entanglement state from other entangled particles to the objective particles which are originally unrelated. The purpose of this section is to study the entanglement transfer. The procedure is similar but not exactly like the entanglement swapping [44, 45]. The fundamental difference is that the entanglement swapping process use Bell measurements to swap the entanglements, but here we will use particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon to transfer the packaged entanglements (see Fig. 4 and Fig. 5).

5.1 Transfer of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry

Consider that particle A and B are originally in the packaged entanglement state $\left|\Psi^+\right\rangle_{AB}$, and particle C and D are in the packaged entanglement state $\left|\Psi^+\right\rangle_{CD}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Psi^+\right\rangle_{AB} = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A |P\rangle_B\right), \\ \left|\Psi^+\right\rangle_{CD} = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_C \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_D + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_C |P\rangle_D\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Apparently, A and D are unrelated, B and C are unrelated (see Fig. 4). Now we wish to connect A and D in a packaged entanglement state without touching them. This can be achieved by annihilating B and C. Before Alice carry out any further operation, the complete state of the four particles (A, B, C, D) is

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Psi^+\right\rangle_{ABCD} &= \left|\Psi^+\right\rangle_{AB} \left|\Psi^+\right\rangle_{CD} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(|P\rangle_A \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B |P\rangle_C \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_D + |P\rangle_A \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_B \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_C |P\rangle_D + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A |P\rangle_B |P\rangle_C \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_D + \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_A |P\rangle_B \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_C |P\rangle_D\right)\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Each particle in the packaged entanglement states is a mixture of a particle and an antiparticle, or a hermaphroditic particle. When particle B encounters C, the particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon [39, 38] will force B and C to collapse into a pair of conjugated particles, or a particle-antiparticle



Figure 4: (Color online) Schematic diagram for the entanglement transfer from the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_B\right)$ and $|\Psi^+\rangle_{CD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_C|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_C|P\rangle_D\right)$ to the packaged entanglement state $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_D\right)$.

pair in the separable states $|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C$ and $|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C$. Afterwards, the particle-antiparticle pair (B, C) will annihilate each other. Thus, the $|\Psi^+\rangle_{ABCD}$ in Eq.(5.2) will collapse into a state $|\Psi^+\rangle'_{ABCD}$ which only has terms including $|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C$ and $|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi^+\rangle'_{ABCD} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C|P\rangle_D\right)\\ &= |\Psi^+\rangle_{AD}|P\bar{P}\rangle_{BC}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_D\right)$ and $|P\bar{P}\rangle_{BC}$ are the particles produced by the $|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C$ and $|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C$ annihilation [38].

Eq.(5.3) shows that after the annihilation of particle B and C, particle A and D (originally unrelated) is now in the packaged entanglement states $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AD}$. Similarly, if we choose $|\Psi^-\rangle_{AB}$ and $|\Psi^-\rangle_{CD}$ in Eq.(5.1), then Eq.(5.2) becomes $|\Psi^{--}\rangle'_{ABCD} = |\Psi^+\rangle_{AD}|P\bar{P}\rangle_{BC}$. If we choose $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AB}$ and $|\Psi^-\rangle_{CD}$ in Eq.(5.1), then Eq.(5.2) becomes $|\Psi^{+-}\rangle'_{ABCD} = |\Psi^-\rangle_{AD}|P\bar{P}\rangle_{BC}$, where $|\Psi^-\rangle_{AD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_D - |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_D\right)$.

Furthermore, the above transfer process can be performed in a sequence or chain with any number of packaged entanglement pairs, i.e.,

$$A - \overbrace{B\cdots C} - \overbrace{D\cdots E} - \overbrace{F\cdots G} - \overbrace{H\cdots I} - J\cdots\,.$$

5.2 Transfer of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking

The purpose of this subsection is to study the entanglement transfer using the packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking (see Eq.(2.5)).

Consider that particle A and B are originally in the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$, and particle C and D are in the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_{CD}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|P\rangle_B + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B\right),\\ |\Phi^+\rangle_{CD} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_C|P\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_C|\bar{P}\rangle_D\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$



Figure 5: (Color online) Schematic diagram for the entanglement transfer from the packaged entanglement states $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|P\rangle_B + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B\right)$ and $|\Phi^+\rangle_{CD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_C|P\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_C|\bar{P}\rangle_D\right)$ to the packaged entanglement state $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_D\right)$.

Apparently, A and D are unrelated, B and C are unrelated (see Fig. 5). Now we wish to connect A and D in a packaged entanglement state without touching them. This can be achieved by annihilating B and C. Before Alice carry out any further operation, the complete state of the four particles (A, B, C, D) is

$$\begin{aligned}|\Phi^+\rangle_{ABCD} &= |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}|\Phi^+\rangle_{CD} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(|P\rangle_A|P\rangle_B|P\rangle_C|P\rangle_D + |P\rangle_A|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C|P\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C|\bar{P}\rangle_D\right)\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Each particle in the packaged entanglement states is a mixture of a particle and an antiparticle, or a hermaphroditic particle. When particle B encounters C, the particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon [39] will force B and C to collapse into a pair of conjugated particles, or a particle-antiparticle pair in the separable states $|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C$ or $|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C$. Afterwards, the particle-antiparticle pair (B, C) will annihilate each other. Thus, the $|\Phi^+\rangle_{ABCD}$ in Eq.(5.5) will collapse into a state $|\Phi^+\rangle'_{ABCD}$ which only has terms including $|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C$ and $|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}|\Phi^+\rangle'_{ABCD} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C|P\rangle_D\right) \\ &= |\Psi^+\rangle_{AD}|P\bar{P}\rangle_{BC}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_D\right)$ and $|P\bar{P}\rangle_{BC}$ are the particles produced by the $|P\rangle_B|\bar{P}\rangle_C$ and $|\bar{P}\rangle_B|P\rangle_C$ annihilation.

Eq.(5.6) shows that after the annihilation of particle B and C, particle A and D (originally unrelated) is now in the packaged entanglement state $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AD}$. If we choose $|\Phi^-\rangle_{AB}$ and $|\Phi^-\rangle_{CD}$ in Eq.(5.4), then Eq.(5.6) becomes $|\Phi^{--}\rangle'_{ABCD} = -|\Psi^+\rangle_{AD}|P\bar{P}\rangle_{BC}$, where $|\Psi^+\rangle_{AD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_D + |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_D\right)$. If we choose $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$ and $|\Phi^-\rangle_{CD}$ in Eq.(5.4), then Eq.(5.6) becomes $|\Phi^{+-}\rangle'_{ABCD} = -|\Psi^-\rangle_{AD}|P\bar{P}\rangle_{BC}$, where $|\Psi^-\rangle_{AD} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|P\rangle_A|\bar{P}\rangle_D - |\bar{P}\rangle_A|P\rangle_D\right)$.

Furthermore, the above transfer process can be performed in a sequence or chain with any number of

packaged entanglement pairs, i.e.,

$$A-\overbrace{B\cdots C}-\overbrace{D\cdots E}-\overbrace{F\cdots G}-\overbrace{H\cdots I}-J\cdots .$$

The above discussion shows that in the entanglement transfer process, one can only obtain the states $|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle_{AD}$, but cannot obtain the states $|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_{AD}$. It does not matter which quantum channels you choose to do the entanglement transfer.

6 Baryogenesis via the packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking

Baryogenesis [46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51] usually refers to the physical process that caused the imbalance between baryons and anti-baryons produced after the Big Bang. The existing physical theories [52] predict that there should be equal amount of matter and antimatter created in early universe. However, the observations show that there is far more matter than antimatter in the present universe [53, 54, 55, 56]. This means that our universe either started with more matter than antimatter, or started with equal amount of matter and antimatter but later became matter dominated due to some unknown physical laws. Most research works focused on the latter.[46] In 1967, Sakharov [57] proposed three necessary conditions for a physical process that can lead to the matter-antimatter asymmetry. Up to now a number of mechanisms were proposed to address the matter-antimatter asymmetry, such as Higgs field baryogenesis [58], electroweak baryogenesis [59, 60], leptogenesis [61, 62], Affleck-Dine baryogenesis [63], GUT (grand unified theory) baryogenesis [64, 65], Planck-scale baryogenesis [46], etc.

As shown before, particles can form the packaged entanglement states in which the particles are indeterminate and hermaphroditic. The packaged entanglement states are the eigenstates of charge conjugation operator C. In some of the packaged entanglement states, however, the total charge are not conserved after the wave function collapse. This phenomenon directly leads to the imbalance between particles and antiparticles, or particle-antiparticle asymmetry. Therefore, the collapse of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking may be responsible for the matter-antimatter asymmetry of our universe.

In this section, we first show that the collapse of packaged entanglement states satisfies the Sakharov conditions. Next, we proposed an "entanglement selection principle" to explain why the particles created after the Big Bang are in the packaged entanglement states, but not in the separable states. Finally, we concluded that the collapse of packaged entanglement states is responsible for the baryogenesis and also discussed the characters of the baryogenesis mechanisms.

6.1 Packaged entanglement states and Sakharov conditions

Any physical processes that can lead to the matter-antimatter asymmetry must satisfy certain necessary conditions. In 1967, Sakharov [57] proposed three necessary conditions for these physical processes: 1. Violation of baryon number B, 2. Violation of C-symmetry and CP-symmetry, 3. Thermal nonequilibrium. One can see that, to explain the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry in our universe, a possible way is to construct a theory that describes a physical process which can result in C-symmetry breaking.

As shown in Eq.(2.7), a M-particles system can forms a basis consisted with 2^M packaged entanglement states. All the packaged entanglement states in Eq.(2.7) are the eigenstates of charge conjugation operator C. Some of the packaged entanglement states have unequal number of Ps and $\bar{P}$s (see the first half or the second half combinations on the right side of in Eq.(2.7)). The C-symmetry does not hold in the collapse of these wave functions. Let us now choose $|\Phi^{+}\rangle_{1}$ to show that the collapse of these states satisfy the Sakharov conditions [57].

6.1.1 Violation of baryon number B

Currently, there is no direct experimental evidences [50] that show the violation baryon number B. However, this violation is obviously necessary to produce the matter-antimatter asymmetry, which can be described by the asymmetry parameter [66] $\eta_B = (n_B - n_{\bar{B}})/n_\gamma \simeq n_B/n_\gamma = (6.19 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-10}$,

where n_B, $n_{\bar{B}}$, and n_γ are the overall number density of baryons, anti-baryons, and cosmic background radiation photons, respectively. Let us now use the theory of packaged entanglement states to give a possible explanation to this fact.

Due to a measurement or some external perturbation, the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ will collapse into either the separable state $|\Theta\rangle_1 = |P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_{M-1} |P\rangle_M$, or the separable state $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1 = \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_1 \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_2 \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_3 \cdots \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_{M-1} \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_M$.

In the separable state in $|\Theta\rangle_1$, the total electric charge (Q), baryon number (B), lepton number (L), isospin (I_3), charm (C), strangeness (S), topness (T), and bottomness (B') are the sums of that of each particles, respectively.[32] In the separable state $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$, each particle conjugates to that in $|\Theta\rangle_1$. Therefore, the quantum numbers of $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$ are negative, i.e., $-Q$, $-B$, $-L$, $-I_3$, $-C$, $-S$, $-T$, and $-B'$, respectively. However, the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ is a linear combination of $|\Theta\rangle_1$ and $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$. Thus, all the quantum numbers Q, B, L, I_3, C, S, T, and B' are zeros. This means that the collapse of $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ not only violates the baryon number B, but also violates the other packaged quantum numbers, i.e., B, L, I_3, C, S, T, and B'.

6.1.2 Violation of C-symmetry and CP-symmetry

From above discussion we see that all the total packaged quantum numbers of $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ are 0s, but those of $|\Theta\rangle_1$ are Q, B, L, I_3, C, S, T, B' respectively, and those of $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$ are $-Q$, $-B$, $-L$, $-I_3$, $-C$, $-S$, $-T$, $-B'$ respectively.

A measurement or some external perturbation will cause the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ to collapse into either the separable state $|\Theta\rangle_1$, or the separable state $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$. It doesn't matter how one applies the operators C, CP, or CPT to the separable state $|\Theta\rangle_1$ (or $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$), he/she cannot send $|\Theta\rangle_1$ (or $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$) back to the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$. This means that the collapse of $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ breaks C, CP, and CPT symmetry.[67, 35]

6.1.3 Thermal nonequilibrium

The particles in packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ are indeterminate and hermaphroditic. But the particles in separable state $|\Theta\rangle_1$ (or $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$) are determinate, i.e., they are either particles or antiparticles. A measurement or some external perturbation will cause the state $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ to jump into the separable state $|\Theta\rangle_1$, or $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$.

One can see that the packaged entanglement state $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ is similar to an "unstable" state, but the separable states $|\Theta\rangle_1$, or $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$ are similar to "stable" states. Therefore, the collapse of $|\Phi^+\rangle_1$ into $|\Theta\rangle_1$ (or $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$) is an irreversible or a one way process. This physical process along with the expansion of universe assure the departure from thermal equilibrium.[50]

6.2 Entanglement selection principle

We have shown that the collapse of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking satisfies the Sakharov conditions and therefore results in matter-antimatter asymmetry. But we still need to answer the question why the particles created in early universe are in the packaged entanglement states (in which the particles are indeterminate), but not in the separable states (in which the particles are determinate). This could be explained by the idea of universal selection from the universal Darwinism (generalized Darwinism) [68, 69, 70].

By applying the theory of Darwinism to the packaged entanglement state, we will show that: *the particles created in the separable states are short-lived due to the particle-antiparticle annihilation, but the particles created in the packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking can be long-lived and survive until today.* Because the selection criteria used here is based on the packaged entanglement states, we shall call such a selection process as "entanglement selection" for the purpose of specifying its unique feature.

According to Dirac's theory [52], particles must be created in particle-antiparticle pairs. This condition can be satisfied by a separable state with equal amount of particles Ps and antiparticles $\bar{P}$s, i.e., $|\Theta\rangle = |P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_N \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_1 \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_2 \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_3 \cdots \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_N$. It can also be satisfied by the packaged entanglement

states in Eq.(2.7) in which each particle in the first half conjugates to that in the second half (see the right side of Eq.(2.7)).

According to quantum mechanics, each state that satisfies the above particle-antiparticle pair condition is possible to occur. If a group of elementary particles are created in a separable state, say $|\Theta\rangle = |P\rangle_1 |P\rangle_2 |P\rangle_3 \cdots |P\rangle_N \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_1 \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_2 \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_3 \cdots \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle_N$, then there should be equal amount of particles and antiparticles. In early universe, these particles and antiparticles should locate in a limited volume and they should annihilate each other. In this sense, the particles created in the separable state $|\Theta\rangle$ won't live long and will go back to radiation quickly. Afterwards, the radiation (or energy) creates a group of new particles again. This creation-annihilation process will continue and finally stop until the particles happen to be created in one of the packaged entanglement states, which collapse and break the C-symmetry. Finally, an unequal amount of particles and antiparticles is obtained according to Eq.(2.7). Finally, a small amount of antiparticles will annihilate an equal amount of particles. These short-lived particles pairs will go back to radiation again and start a new entanglement selection process. But the remnant particles that are not annihilated will survive.

The above entanglement selection principle also indicates that it is a random result that our universe is made of matter, but not anti-matter. More specifically, it depends on the initial condition at the moment of wave function collapse. For example, if the packaged entanglement state $\left|\Phi^+\right\rangle_1$ collapse and happened to roll into $|\Theta\rangle_1$, then an universe made of matter is created. However, if $\left|\Phi^+\right\rangle_1$ happened to roll into $\left|\bar{\Theta}\right\rangle_1$, then an anti-universe made of antimatter is created.

6.3 Comparison with other mechanisms of baryogenesis

We have studied the mechanism of baryogenesis based on the collapse of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking. In this section, we would like to further discussion its characters by comparing with other existing mechanisms of baryogenesis.

As mentioned before, the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the observable universe [53, 54, 55, 56] could be interpreted in two ways: 1. the universe started with more matter than antimatter; 2. the universe started with equal amount of matter and antimatter but later became matter dominated due to some physical laws. The mechanism proposed in this work belongs to the second way. In fact, the baryon asymmetry is generated after the inflation. This is similar to the other existing theories of baryogenesis.

The baryogenesis theory proposed in this work used quantum mechanics to describe the mechanism that produced the matter-antimatter asymmetry. The main point is the collapse of wave function of the packaged entanglement states. However, the other baryogenesis theories [58, 59, 61, 63, 64] used quantum field theory and statistical mechanics to describe the mechanism of baryogenesis. The main points in these theories are the interactions between elementary particles.

Finally, we would like to mention that the baryogenesis based on packaged entanglement states may indicate the possibility of multiverse [71, 72, 73]. It at least does not rule out the possibility of multiverse. This is because the entanglement selection principle results in the random origin of the universe, which means that the structure and composition of a universe is not unique. This multiple origin indicates that there is no reason why the existence of universe is unique, but not multiple.

7 Discussion

In early quantum teleportation protocols [9, 41], Alice sends out the information of particle X by performing a Bell measurement on particles X and A. This measurement has four possible results. Thereafter, Alice needs a classical channel to inform Bob about her Bell measurement result. In the present particle teleportation protocol, however, Alice sent out the information of X by annihilating X with A. Alice's experimental result is fixed by the particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon. Thus, Bob's result has a fixed relationship with that of X and he can decode the information directly. The classical channel between Alice and Bob is then removed.

In the packaged entanglement states, every additive quantum number is symmetrical (with opposite sign). Thus, the particle teleportation process satisfies a number of conservation principles, i.e., charge (Q) conservation, baryon number (B) conservation, lepton number (L) conservation, isospin (I_3) conservation, charm (C) conservation, strangeness (S) conservation, topness (T) conservation, and bottomness (B')

conservation. In addition, it also satisfies the principles of linear momentum conservation, total energy conservation, and angular momentum conservation.

In the particle teleportation process, Alice can control particle B to be a particle or an antiparticle at a distance. In other words, Alice can control the gender of Bob's particle B at a distance because B is a hermaphroditic particle in the packaged entanglement state. Therefore, Alice can control particle B whether to annihilate or not to annihilate with its environment particles at will. This property may be found important applications in medicine, such as positron emission tomography (PET) [74], positron annihilation spectroscopy (PAS), and the band structure measurements in solid state physics as well. It could also be applied in remote control. Furthermore, the particle-antiparticle annihilation process can release a large amount of energy. Thus, the particle teleportation process could be used to transport energy.

8 Conclusion

The properties of packaged entanglement states and their applications in matter teleportation are studied. Two types of packaged entanglement states were studied, i.e., the packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry and C-symmetry breaking. It is shown that the packaged entanglement states of a particle-antiparticle pair are also the eigenstates of charge conjugation operator. The species of particles in the packaged entanglement states are indeterminate. They are mixtures of particles and antiparticles. An experimental scheme for confirming the existence of packaged entanglement states based on the particle-antiparticle annihilation was proposed.

A protocol for particle (matter) teleportation using the packaged entanglement states was also proposed. Different to early studies, the particle teleportation protocol introduced here does not need a classical channel due to the particle-antiparticle annihilation phenomenon. It is also shown that a packaged entanglement state can be transferred from two particles to another two particles. In the particle teleportation process with the C-symmetry breaking packaged entanglement states, a particle is always teleported to the receiver as a particle conjugating to the original particle. In the entanglement transfer process with the C-symmetry breaking packaged entanglement states, one can only obtain the states that obey the C-symmetry ($|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle_{AD}$), but cannot obtain the states that break the C-symmetry ($|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle_{AD}$).

Finally, we show that the collapse of packaged entanglement states with C-symmetry breaking satisfies the Sakharov conditions, i.e., violation of baryon number B, violation of C-symmetry and CP-symmetry, and thermal nonequilibrium. An entanglement selection principle is proposed to explain why the particles and antiparticles created in early universe is in the packaged entanglement states. Combining the above two ideas, one can give a self-consistent explanation to the imbalance between baryons and anti-baryons produced in early universe.

References

[1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki and K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 865-942 (2009).

[2] Hoi-Kwong Lo, S. Popescu and T. Spiller (Editors), Introduction to Quantum Computation and Information (World Scientific, River-Edge, 1998).

[3] Michael A. Nielsen & Isaac L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010).

[4] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. 47, 777 (1935).

[5] Letter from Einstein to Max Born, 3 March 1947, p158. The Born-Einstein Letters; Correspondence between Albert Einstein and Max and Hedwig Born from 1916 to 1955 (Macmillan Press Ltd., New York, 1971).

[6] John S. Bell, Physics 1, 195-200 (1964).

[7] Stuart J. Freedman and John F. Clauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 938 (1972).

[8] Alain Aspect, Philippe Grangier, and Gérard Roger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 91 (1982).

[9] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895-1899 (1993).

[10] Dik Bouwmeester, Jian-Wei Pan, Klaus Mattle, Manfred Eibl, Harald Weinfurter & Anton Zeilinger, Nature 390, 575-579 (1997).

[11] D. Boschi, S. Branca, F. DeMartini, L. Hardy, & S. Popescu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1121-1125 (1998).

[12] Michael N. Leuenberger, Michael E. Flatte, and D. D. Awschalom, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 107401 (2005).

[13] W. Pfaff, B. J. Hensen, H. Bernien, S. B. van Dam, M. S. Blok, T. H. Taminiau, M. J. Tiggelman, R. N. Schouten, M. Markham, D. J. Twitchen, R. Hanson, Science 345, 532 (2014).

[14] H. Krauter, D. Salart, C. A. Muschik, J. M. Petersen, Heng Shen, T. Fernholz & E. S. Polzik, Nature Physics 9, 400-404 (2013).

[15] Julian Hofmann, Michael Krug, Norbert Ortegel, Lea Gerard, Markus Weber, Wenjamin Rosenfeld, Harald Weinfurter, Science 337, 72-75 (2012).

[16] M. Riebe, H. Haffner, C. F. Roos, W. Hänsel, J. Benhelm, G. P. T. Lancaster, T. W. Karber, C. Becher, F. Schmidt-Kaler, D. F. V. James & R. Blatt, Nature 429, 734-737 (2004).

[17] M. D. Barrett, J. Chiaverini, T. Schaetz, J. Britton, W. M. Itano, J. D. Jost, E. Knill, C. Langer, D. Leibfried, R. Ozeri, D. J. Wineland, Nature 429, 737-739 (2004).

[18] S. Olmschenk, D. N. Matsukevich, P. Maunz, D. Hayes, L.-M. Duan, C. Monroe, Science 323, 486-489 (2009).

[19] Y. Nakamura, Yu. A. Pashkin, and J. S. Tsai, Nature 398, 786-788 (1999).

[20] M. Baur, A. Fedorov, L. Steffen, S. Filipp, M. P. da Silva, and A. Wallraff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 040502 (2012).

[21] P. G. Kwiat, J. Mod. Opt. 44, 2173 (1997).

[22] Julio T. Barreiro, Nathan K. Langford, Nicholas A. Peters, and Paul G. Kwiat, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 260501 (2005).

[23] Jun Chen, Jingyun Fan, Matthew D. Eisaman, and Alan Migdall, Phys. Rev. A 77, 053812 (2008).

[24] Giuseppe Vallone, Raino Ceccarelli, Francesco De Martini, and Paolo Mataloni, Phys. Rev. A 79, 030301(R) (2009).

[25] Kui Liu, Jun Guo, Chunxiao Cai, Shuaifeng Guo, and Jiangrui Gao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 170501 (2014).

[26] A. Gatti, R. Zambrini, M. San Miguel, and L. A. Lugiato, Phys Rev A 68, 053807 (2003).

[27] Vittorio Giovannetti, Diego Frustaglia, and Fabio Taddei, Phys Rev B 74, 115315 (2006).

[28] Hua-tang Tan,Wen-wu Deng and He Huang, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 43, 215507 (2010).

[29] Wenxing Shi, Fei Wang, Lihui Zhang, Zhiming Zhan, Xing Li, Optics Communications 285, 4446–4452 (2012).

[30] T C H Liew and V Savona, New Journal of Physics 15, 025015 (2013).

[31] P. A. Knott, T. J. Proctor, Kae Nemoto, J. A. Dunningham, and W. J. Munro, Phys Rev A 90, 033846 (2014).

[32] D. J. Griffiths, Introduction to Elementary Particles (Wiley-VCH, 2nd ed., 2008).

[33] Donald H. Perkins, Introduction to High Energy Physics (Cambridge University Press, 4th Edition, 2000).

[34] Lawrence M. Krauss, The Physics of Star Trek (Flamingo, Reissue edition, 1995).

[35] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder, An introduction to quantum field theory (Addison-Wesley, 1995).

[36] Apply the charge conjugation operator C to the particle state $|P\rangle$ twice, we have $C^2 |P\rangle = C \left|\bar{P}\right\rangle = |P\rangle$. This gives $C^2 = 1$. On the other hand, the normalization condition $\left\langle P \left| C^\dagger C \right| P \right\rangle = \langle \bar{P} | \bar{P} \rangle = 1$ gives $C^\dagger C = 1$. Thus, we have $C = C^\dagger$.

[37] C-Parity, or charge parity, refers to the even or odd symmetry under the charge conjugation operator C, i.e., the particle's behavior under the symmetry operator C. It is usually represented by a multiplicative quantum number.

[38] When a low-energy elementary particle-antiparticle pair annihilates, say an electron annihilates with a positron, they can only produce two or more photons. But when a composite particle-antiparticle pair annihilates, say a proton annihilates with an antiproton, they will produce multiple particles, such as photons, electrons, positrons, and neutrinos.

[39] Eberhard Klempt, Chris Batty, Jean-Marc Richard, Physics Reports 413 (4–5), 197–317 (2005).

[40] Jon Magne Leinaas, Jan Myrheim, and Eirik Ovrum, Phys. Rev. A 74, 012313 (2006).

[41] W. Dür & J. I. Cirac, Journal of Modern Optics 47 (2-3), 247-255 (2000).

[42] Zhi Zhao, Yu-Ao Chen, An-Ning Zhang, Tao Yang, Hans J. Briegel & Jian-Wei Pan, Nature 430, 54-58 (2004).

[43] Daniel M. Greenberger, Michael A. Horne, Anton Zeilinger (2007), Going beyond Bell's Theorem, arXiv:0712.0921.

[44] M. Zukowski, A. Zeilinger, M. A. Horne, and A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 4287 (1993).

[45] Christian Schmid, Nikolai Kiesel, Ulrich K Weber, Rupert Ursin, Anton Zeilinger and Harald Weinfurter, New Journal of Physics 11, 033008 (2009).

[46] Michael Dine and Alexander Kusenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 1 (2003).

[47] David E Morrissey and Michael J Ramsey-Musolf, New Journal of Physics 14, 125003 (2012).

[48] Mark Trodden, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 1463 (1999).

[49] Antonio Riotto and Mark Trodden, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 49, 35–75 (1999).

[50] Steven Weinberg, Cosmology (Oxford University Press, New York, 2008).

[51] Andrew Liddle, An Introduction to Modern Cosmology (Wiley, West Sussex, England, 3rd Edition, 2015).

[52] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 117 (778), 610-624 (1928); Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 118 (779), 351-361 (1928); Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 126 (801), 360-365 (1930).

[53] Laurent Canetti, Marco Drewes and Mikhail Shaposhnikov, New Journal of Physics 14, 095012 (2012).

[54] S. P. Ahlen, S. Barwick, J. J. Beatty, C. R. Bower, G. Gerbier, R. M. Heinz, D. Lowder, S. McKee, S. Mufson, J. A. Musser, P. B. Price, M. H. Salamon, G. Tarle, A. Tomasch, and B. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 145 (1988).

[55] A. G. Cohen, A. De Rujula, and S. L. Glashow, Astrophys. J. 495, 539 (1998).

[56] G. Steigman, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 14, 336 (1976).

[57] A. D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. 5, 24–27 (1967); republished as A. D. Sakharov, Soviet Physics Uspekhi 34 (5), 392–393 (1991).

[58] Alexander Kusenko, Lauren Pearce, and Louis Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 061302 (2015).

[59] V. Kuzmin, V. Rubakov and M. Shaposhnikov Phys. Lett. B 155, 36 (1985).

[60] A. Dolgov, Phys. Rep. 222, 309 (1992).

[61] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B 174, 45 (1986).

[62] Sacha Davidson, Enrico Nardi, Yosef Nir, Phys. Rept. 466, 105-177 (2008).

[63] I. Affleck and M. Dine, Nucl. Phys. B 249, 361 (1985).

[64] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 850 (1979).

[65] E. W. Kolb, and M. S. Turner, The Early Universe (Adddison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990).

[66] E. Komatsu et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. 192, 18 (2011).

[67] J. J. Sakurai, Jim Napolitano, Modern Quantum Mechanics (Addison-Wesley, Second Edition, San Francisco, 2011)

[68] R. Dawkins, Universal Darwinism. In: Evolution from molecules to man, ed. D. S. Bendall (Cambridge University Press, 1 edition, 1983).

[69] Lee Smolin, The Life of the Cosmos (Oxford University Press, 1997).

[70] Wojciech Hubert Zurek, Nature Physics 5, 181-188 (2009).

[71] Alexander Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 27, 2848 (1983).

[72] A. D. Linde, Physics Letters B 175 (4), 395–400 (1986).

[73] Max Tegmark, Scientific American 288, 40-51 (2003).

[74] Gopal B. Saha, Basics of PET Imaging: Physics, Chemistry, and Regulations (Springer, 2nd ed., 2010)